

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,089	01/28/2004	Arno D. Bruns	08020.0011-00000	9826
60668 SAP / FINNE	7590 06/05/200 GAN, HENDERSON L	EXAMINER		
901 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, NW	PLUCINSKI, JAMISUE A		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/765,089	BRUNS, ARNO D.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JAMISUE A. PLUCINSKI	3629		

	JAIVIISUE A. FLUCINSKI	3629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>\( \)\( \)\( \)\( \)\( \)\( \)\( \)\( \</li></ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> </ol>			cause
(a) ☐ They raise thew issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orreenanding number of finally reig	ected claims	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1:		ottod ciairris.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amandmant (I	OTOL -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant Americanient (i	1 OL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. \( \times \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \tilde{\text{l}} \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27,29 and 30</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
_			

/Jamisue A. Plucinski/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3629 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicant has added the limitation of the source location "comprising an orgin" and "the availability date determined independently of the requested delivery date".

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant is arguing the Smith reference based on the newly added claim limitations. The limitations are not being entered due to the fact that they raise new issues and require further consideration. Therefore arguments in terms of the newly added claim limitations are not considered to be persuasive.

The applicant is arguing the Kruglikov reference in terms of not teaching the source location. The Kruglikov reference was not used to show this limitation, therefore the arguments are not considered to be persuasive.

The applicant is also argung that there is no motivation to combine the references based on the references now showing the newly amended limitations. As stated above, the limitations are not being entered, therefore argument is not considered to be persuasive and rejections stand as taught in the Final Office action.